Categorical Exclusion Documentation Format for Actions Other Than Hazardous Fuels and Fire Rehabilitation Actions

Project Name NEPA Number DOI- BLM-AZ-C010-2012-0018-CX

A. Background

BLM Office: Kingman Field Office Lease/Serial/Case File No.: AZA 22683, AZA

30115, AZA 30116

Proposed Action Title/Type: Assignment of communications use leases.

Location of Proposed Action:

Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona

AZA 22683 T. 20 N., R. 15 W,

sec.30, SE¹/₄.

AZA 30115 T. 27 N., R. 21 W.,

sec. 2, SW1/4SW1/4;

sec. 3, S½S½;

sec. 4, SE¹/₄SE¹/₄;

sec. 8, N¹/₂NE¹/₄, NW¹/₄, W¹/₂SW¹/₄;

sec. 9, N¹/₂N¹/₂N¹/₂;

sec. 16, SW1/4NW1/4;

sec. 17, NE¹/₄, NW¹/₄.

AZA 30116 T. 29 N., R. 17 W.,

sec. 34, N¹/₂NE¹/₄, NE¹/₄NW¹/₄.

Description of Proposed Action: Assignment of Communications Use Leases AZA 22683 (Hayden Peak), AZA 30115 (Willow Beach), and AZA 30116 (Patterson Slope) from Jim Vine to GTP Structures I, LLC (GTP). In addition to the terms and conditions of the communications use leases, GTP has agreed to be bound by and comply with the additional term and condition for lease AZA 30116 that microwave dishes and covers must be gray. This is already included as a term and condition of leases AZA 22683 and AZA 30115. No additional rights would be conveyed beyond those granted by the original communications use leases.

B. Land Use Plan Conformance

Land Use Plan Name: Kingman Resource Management Plan/EIS

Date Approved/Amended: March 1995

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s): N/A

The proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decision(s) (objectives, terms, and conditions): LR14/B3 Commercial mountaintop development for communication sites are restricted to the eleven described on page 67 [of the Kingman RMP/FEIS]. Hayden Peak, Willow Beach, and Patterson Slope, on which the leased facilities are located, are designated as communications sites in the Kingman RMP/FEIS.

C. Compliance with NEPA

The Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 11.9, E. 9. Renewals and assignments of leases, permits, or rights-of-way where no additional rights are conveyed beyond those granted by the original authorizations.

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed (See Attachment 1), and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 516 DM2 apply.

I considered that these are existing facilities and no changes in the facilities and improvements nor their operation would occur as a result of the assignment of these. These have not resulted in significant impacts nor is it anticipated that they would.

D. Signature

Author	izing Official:/	s / Don McClure_	for	Date: _	8/14/2012	
	_	(Signature)				
Name:	Ruben A. Sánchez					
Title:	Field Manager					

Contact Person

For additional information concerning this CX review, contact Andy Whitefield, 2755 Mission Blvd., Kingman, AZ 86401, (928) 718-3746, andy_whitefield@blm.gov.

Note: A separate decision document must be prepared for the action covered by the CX. See Attachment 2.

	Comment (Yes or No with supporting Rationale)
. Have significant effects on public health or safety.	No. The operation of the facilities authorized under
	the communications use leases must be in
	conformance with FCC guidelines, which require for
	the safe operation of the facilities and equipment.
2. Have significant impacts on such natural	No. No such resources are known to exist in the
esources and unique geographic characteristics as	affected area nor is it anticipated these would be
nistoric or cultural resources; park, recreation or	affected.
efuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers;	
national natural landmarks; sole or principal	
lrinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands	
Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive	
Order 11988) national monuments; migratory birds;	
and other ecologically significant or critical areas.	
3. Have highly controversial environmental effects	No. The assignment of these leases would not be
or involve unresolved conflicts concerning	controversial nor would it involve conflicts
lternative uses of available resources [NEPA	concerning alternative uses of available resources.
Section 102(2)(E)].	
Have highly uncertain and potentially significant	No. The facility authorized under AZA 22683 has
environmental effects or involve unique or unknown	been in operation since 1988, and the facilities
environmental risks.	authorized under AZA 30115 and AZA 30116 have
	been in operation since 1997 and there have been no
	highly uncertain and potentially significant
	environmental effects from these nor have there been
	unique or unknown environmental risks. It would be
	anticipated this would remain the same.
5. Establishes a precedent for future action or	No. The assignment of these leases would not
epresents a decision in principle about future	establish any precedents. Any substantial deviations
actions with significant environmental effects.	of the facilities and improvements and their
	operations would require further analysis in
	accordance with the National Environmental Policy
	Act.
6. Have a direct relationship to other actions with	No. No direct relationship to other actions with
ndividually insignificant but cumulatively	individually insignificant but cumulatively
ignificant environmental effects.	significant environmental effects are anticipated as a
6	result of the assignment of these leases.
7. Have significant impacts on properties listed, or	No. No listed properties or properties eligible for
eligible for listing, on the National Register of	listing on the National Register of Historic Places
Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or	are known in the affected area nor is it anticipated
office.	any such properties would be affected.
B. Have significant impacts on species listed, or	No. Although a portion of the access road to the
proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or	Hayden Peak facility is within habitat of the
Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on	Hualapai Mexican Vole, a Federally listed
lesignated Critical Habitat for these species.	endangered species, the right-of-way for that road
	has terms and conditions which have successfully
	mitigated potential impacts from the use of the road
9. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal	and it is anticipated this would continue. No. No laws or requirements for the protection of
9. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal aw or requirement imposed for the protection of the	and it is anticipated this would continue.
	and it is anticipated this would continue. No. No laws or requirements for the protection of
aw or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.	and it is anticipated this would continue. No. No laws or requirements for the protection of the environment would be violated as a result of the assignment of these leases.
aw or requirement imposed for the protection of the	and it is anticipated this would continue. No. No laws or requirements for the protection of the environment would be violated as a result of the
aw or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. O. Have a disproportionately high and adverse	and it is anticipated this would continue. No. No laws or requirements for the protection of the environment would be violated as a result of the assignment of these leases. No. No distinct populations have been affected differently from these facilities nor is it anticipated
aw or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. O. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations	and it is anticipated this would continue. No. No laws or requirements for the protection of the environment would be violated as a result of the assignment of these leases. No. No distinct populations have been affected
aw or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. O. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations Executive Order 12898).	and it is anticipated this would continue. No. No laws or requirements for the protection of the environment would be violated as a result of the assignment of these leases. No. No distinct populations have been affected differently from these facilities nor is it anticipated any would be affected by the assignment of these
aw or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. O. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations Executive Order 12898). 1. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian accred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious	and it is anticipated this would continue. No. No laws or requirements for the protection of the environment would be violated as a result of the assignment of these leases. No. No distinct populations have been affected differently from these facilities nor is it anticipated any would be affected by the assignment of these leases. No. No sacred sites are known to exist in the affected area por is it anticipated the assignment of
aw or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. O. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations Executive Order 12898). 1. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian accred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious	and it is anticipated this would continue. No. No laws or requirements for the protection of the environment would be violated as a result of the assignment of these leases. No. No distinct populations have been affected differently from these facilities nor is it anticipated any would be affected by the assignment of these leases. No. No sacred sites are known to exist in the affected area nor is it anticipated the assignment of
aw or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. O. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations Executive Order 12898). 1. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian	and it is anticipated this would continue. No. No laws or requirements for the protection of the environment would be violated as a result of the assignment of these leases. No. No distinct populations have been affected differently from these facilities nor is it anticipated any would be affected by the assignment of these leases. No. No sacred sites are known to exist in the affected area nor is it anticipated the assignment of

12. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112).

No. The assignment of these communications use leases would not contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species.

Approval and Decision Attachment 2

Review: We have determined that the proposal is in accordance with the categorical exclusion criteria and that it would not involve any significant environmental effects. Therefore, it is

Compliance and assignment of responsibility: Lands and Realty

Monitoring and assignment of responsibility: Lands and Realty

categorically excluded from further environmental review.

	Prepared by:	/ s / Andy Whitefield	_ Date:	8/14/2012						
		Andy Whitefield Environmental Protection Specialist								
	Reviewed by:	/ s / Ramone B. McCoy	_ Date:	<u>8/14/2012</u>						
		Ramone McCoy NEPA Coordinator								
	Reviewed by:	/ s / Don McClure	Date:	<u>8/14/2012</u>						
		<i>for</i> Ruben A. Sánchez Field Manager Supervisor								
		ssignment of communications use leases AZA 22 30116 (Patterson Slope) from Jim Vine to GTP			;					
Decision: Based on a review of the project described above and field office staff recommendations, I have determined that the project is in conformance with the land use plan and is categorically excluded from further environmental analysis. It is my decision to approve the action as proposed, with the existing terms and conditions contained in Communications Use Leases AZA 22683, AZA 30115, and, in addition to the existing terms and conditions for Lease AZA 30116, an additional one regarding microwave dishes and covers must be gray is a condition of this assignment and has been agreed to by the assignee (see Exhibits).										
Approve	ed By:/ s /	_Ruben A. Sánchez Date	e: _8/14/20	012						

Exhibits: Communications Use Leases AZA 22683, AZA 30115, AZA 30116.

Ruben A. Sánchez Field Manager, Kingman Field Office